Stochastic dynamical systems approaches to climate dynamics



Henk A. Dijkstra, IMAU, Physics Department, Utrecht University, The Netherlands





Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research Utrecht

Outline

I. Phenomena of Climate Variability

- Time scales/patterns
 - Main questions
- 2. Dynamical systems approach
 - Model hierarchy
 - Concepts/techniques
- 3. Specific examples
 - -Wind-driven ocean gyre/jet flows
 - Zonal/blocked atmospheric flows

Sea surface temperature (SST) variability



Deser et al., Ann. Rev. Mar. Sc. (2010)

Patterns of variability: El Nino/Southern Oscillation (ENSO)



SST anomaly (1982-2010 mean) of December 1997



NINO3.4 index

Deser et al., Ann. Rev. Mar. Sc. (2010)

Patterns of variability: Atlantic Multidecadal Variability (AMV)



Deser et al., Ann. Rev. Mar. Sc. (2010)

AMV: spectra



Chylek et al., GRL, (2011)

Greenland Ice Core

Main questions

Which processes determine the time scales and spatial patterns of these climate variability phenomena?

Ex: Why is the pattern of ENSO localized in the eastern Pacific and what sets its amplitude?

How does this variability interact with the background (e.g. longer time mean) climate state?

Ex: How does ENSO affect the global mean surface temperature?

Ex: What will be the change in ENSO behavior under global warming?



Reduction of state vector:

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = (\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_t, t) + \mathbf{G}_{ext}(t) + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}_t, t))dt + \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{X}_t, t)d\mathbf{W}_t$$

`Forcing'

Representation of unresolved processes

Intrinsic/internal variability: arises spontaneously through instabilities

Natural variability: combined variability due to external forcing and instabilities (without the anthropogenic `forcing')

Dynamical systems approach

The Taylor-Couette Flow

The Rayleigh-Benard Flow



Dynamics, the Geometry of Behavior, (1988)

Transition behavior







Taylor vortices



Phase space



Geometry of motion!

Representations



Steady --> Periodic --> Quasi-periodic --> ... --> Irregular (Chaotic) ... -> Turbulent

Multiple turbulent states

 \boldsymbol{a}

û,



$$= -\frac{\omega_0}{\omega_i}$$

Regime of ultimate turbulence

a = 0.35





Huisman et al, Nature Comm, (2014)

Application to Climate Variability



'just enough' processes to capture phenomenon under study

Stochastic dynamical systems approach



view of motion

Concepts/techniques

A. Bifurcation Theory

elementary transitions (pitchfork, saddle node, transcritical, Hopf) normal modes (instability mechanisms), global bifurcations transition to chaos, inertial manifolds, synchronization



B. Ergodic Theory

long time behavior of ensembles of trajectories invariant measures, transfer operators evolution of correlations

Elementary transitions (co-dim 1 bifurcations)



Hopf bifurcation (flutter)



Example 1: bifurcation theory Ocean western boundary current variability



RMS of sea surface height (SSH) variability

Kuroshio path: 1993-2004



Bi-weekly mean Kuroshio path from altimetry (170 cm sea level contour)

Interannual-decadal time scale transitions between different Kuroshio paths.

Qiu and Chen, JPO, 2005

SSH metrics









year

<u>A 'minimal' model</u>



reduced gravity shallow-water model

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + f \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{u} = -g' \nabla \eta + (A_H)^2 \mathbf{u} + \frac{\tau}{\rho h} - \gamma \mathbf{u} |\mathbf{u}| \text{ and}$$
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (h \mathbf{u}) = 0,$$

steady wind stress

control parameter: 'lateral friction'

20 km resolution d ~ 500,000

Model-Data comparison: SSH metrics



Pierini et al., JPO, (2009)

Lateral mixing variation: transition behavior!



Model-observation comparison



Bifurcation diagram Quasi-geostrophic (QG) barotropic model

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} + \beta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \zeta + \alpha \left(\frac{\partial \tau^y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau^x}{\partial y}\right)$$

$$u = rac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}$$
 ; $v = -rac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$; $\zeta =
abla^2 \psi$

Double-gyre wind stress:



Bifurcation diagram QG-model: schematic



Symmetry breaking: shear instability at first pitchfork

Streamfunction

Vorticity



Steady state at pitchfork



Normal (P) mode at Pitchfork

Details: Derive low-order Model

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} + \beta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \zeta + \alpha \left(\frac{\partial \tau^y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau^x}{\partial y}\right) - \mu \zeta$$
$$\zeta = \nabla^2 \psi$$

Choose wind-stress strength as control parameter

 $\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y, t) &= A_1(t)G(x)\sin y + A_2(t)G(x)\sin 2y + \\ &+ A_3(t)G(x)\sin 3y + A_4(t)G(x)\sin 4y \\ G(x) &= e^{-sx}\sin x \qquad x \in [0, \pi], y \in [0, \pi] \end{aligned}$

+ Galerkin projection gives:

Simonnet et al., JMR, (2005)

Results: Low-order model

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dA_1}{dt} &= c_1(A_1A_2 + A_2A_3 + A_3A_4) - A_1 \\ \frac{dA_2}{dt} &= 2c_2(A_1A_3 + A_2A_4) - c_2A_1^2 - A_2 + c_5\alpha \\ \frac{dA_3}{dt} &= c_3A_1(A_4 - A_2) - A_3 \\ \frac{dA_4}{dt} &= -c_4A_2^2 - 2c_4A_1A_3 - A_4 \end{aligned}$$

Wind-stress amplitude

 $\tau_0 = 0.1 \ Pa$ $\rightarrow \alpha = 20$





Wind-stress noise in low-order model

$$dA_1 = (c_1(A_1A_2 + A_2A_3 + A_3A_4) - A_1)dt$$

$$dA_2 = (2c_2(A_1A_3 + A_2A_4) - c_2A_1^2 - A_2)dt + c_5\alpha(dt + \sigma \circ dW_t)$$

$$dA_3 = (c_3A_1(A_4 - A_2) - A_3)dt$$

$$dA_4 = (-c_4A_2^2 - 2c_4A_1A_3 - A_4)dt$$

Pullback attraction to $A(\omega)$

SDE



Computation of sample measures

single noise realization

Chekroun, Simonnet, Ghil Physica D, (2008)

Results: sample measure



Effects of noise in the wind-stress forcing on intrinsic variability in PDE models?

1. Local PDF through linearized dynamics

Kuehn, SIAM J. Sci. Comp., (2012)

2. Dynamical Orthogonal Field theory

Sapsis and Lermusiaux, Physica D, (2009)

Sapsis and Majda, Physica D, (2013)

3. Non-Markovian model reduction techniques

Chekroun et al., Springer, (2015)

Dynamically Orthogonal Field Equations, I

General SPDE

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x},t;\omega\right)}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}\left[\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x},t;\omega\right);\omega\right], \quad \mathbf{x} \in D, \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad \omega \in \Omega$$

Karhunen-Loeve

$$\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x},t;\omega\right) = \bar{\mathbf{u}}\left(\mathbf{x},t\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_{i}\left(t;\omega\right) \mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\mathbf{x},t\right), \quad \omega \in \Omega$$

time-dependent basis varies orthogonally to V S



Orthogonality

$$\frac{d\mathbf{V}_S}{dt} \perp \mathbf{V}_S \Leftrightarrow \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_i(\bullet, t)}{\partial t}, \mathbf{u}_j(\bullet, t) \right\rangle = 0 \quad , \ i = 1, ..., s \quad j = 1, ..., s.$$

Sapsis and Lermusiaux, Physica D, (2009)

Dynamically Orthogonal Field Equations, II

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t;\omega) = \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x},t) + \sum_{i=1}^{s} Y_i(t;\omega) \mathbf{u}_i(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \omega \in \Omega$$

$$\frac{dY_{i}(t;\omega)}{dt} = \left\langle \mathcal{L}\left[\mathbf{u}\left(\bullet,t;\omega\right);\omega\right] - E^{\omega}\left[\mathcal{L}\left[\mathbf{u}\left(\bullet,t;\omega\right);\omega\right]\right],\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\bullet,t\right)\right\rangle, \\
\frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{u}}\left(\mathbf{x},t\right)}{\partial t} = E^{\omega}\left[\mathcal{L}\left[\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x},t;\omega\right);\omega\right]\right], \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\mathbf{x},t\right)}{\partial t} = \mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{V}_{S}^{\perp}}\left[E^{\omega}\left[\mathcal{L}\left[\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x},t;\omega\right);\omega\right]Y_{j}\left(t;\omega\right)\right]\right]\mathbf{C}_{Y_{i}(t)Y_{j}(t)}^{-1}$$

s + 1 deterministic PDEs

system of s SDEs (solve with ensemble size n)

Typical results: double-gyre flow



s = 4



Re

Red noise wind stress can easily excite variability in stable deterministic systems

Sapsis and Dijkstra, JPO, (2013)

Minimal model: Effect of additive noise in the wind stress $\tau(x, y, t) = (1 + \epsilon \zeta(t)) \tau_0(x, y)$

red noise, decorrelation time 1 yr



no excitation for white noise!

Pierini, JPO, (2009)

Summary Example 1: bifurcation theory Ocean western boundary current variability

Internal variability of the barotropic wind-driven ocean circulation arises through internal (gyre) modes of variability and/or global bifurcations



Temporal correlations in the noise of the wind-stress forcing excite low-frequency internal variability



... but there are more relevant processes

Baroclinic instability and the effect of (meso-scale) eddies

`Turbulent oscillator'

Berloff et al., JPO, (2007)

The effect of sea surface temperature anomalies on wind-stress anomalies Wind stress anomalies over the Pacific are well correlated with Kuroshio induced SS (and temperature) variations

Qiu et al., J Clim, (2014)

The effect of an external time-dependent wind stress (and Rossby waves)

An external (decadal varying) wind forcing modulates the internal variabil

Pierini, J Clim, (2014)

These have not been included yet into the dynamical systems picture

Example 2: Midlatitude Atmospheric Flow Transitions



Nearly steady anticyclone deviates westerly jet meridionally \rightarrow *Blocking event*:

- Recurrent: ~ 27 events a year (N. hemisphere)
- Persistent: \sim 5 to 30 days

Problem: Develop an early warning indicator for a transition from zonal to a blocked state

Tantet et al., Chaos, (2015)

Minimal model

Barotropic T21 model [Selten, 1995]

$$\frac{\partial \nabla^2 \psi}{\partial t} = -\mathcal{J}(\psi, \nabla^2 \psi + f + h) - k_1 \nabla^2 \psi + k_2 \nabla^8 \psi + \nabla^2 \psi^*$$

- Realistic topography and winter forcing of N. hemisphere,
- Ekman + scale-selective damping,
- 500,000 days long simulation.

Variability







Many unstable fixed points

Crommelin, JAS, (2003)

Reduced dynamics



Select an truncated basis of Empirical Orthogonal Functions:

- with largest decorrelation times: $\rightarrow \tau(\text{pc}_1) = 18d, \quad \tau(\text{pc}_2) = 15d, \quad \tau(\text{pc}_3) = 10d$
- Keep *meta-stability* and *preferred transition paths*:
 → reduced phase-space X = (EOF₁, EOF₃)
 [Crommelin, 2003]

Transfer operator of reduced dynamics

$$f_{n+\tau}(y) = \mathcal{L}_{\tau} f_n(y) + \text{memory}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_n(x) f_{x_n, x_{n+\tau}}(x, y) dx + \text{memory}$$

[Givon et al., 2004]



- How to estimate \mathcal{L}_{τ} ?
- Can we satisfy Markov approximation $\mathcal{L}_{t+s} = \mathcal{L}_t \mathcal{L}_s$?

Estimation of the transfer operator

Galerkin approximation of $f_{x_n,x_{n+\tau}}$ by a transition matrix P_{τ} [Froyland, 1998, Dellnitz and Junge, 1999, Chekroun et al., 2014]:

- Define a grid of disjoint boxes {B_i}_{1≤i≤m} supporting the attractor
- Estimate the transition probabilities

 $P_{\tau,ij} = \mathbb{P}(x_{n+\tau} \in B_j | x_n \in B_i)$ approximating \mathcal{L}_{τ} .



Figure: 1^{st} left-eigenvector \rightarrow stationary density estimate.

Spectral properties

Eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{L}_{\tau} \rightarrow$ left eigenvectors of \hat{P}_{τ} . Decorrelation rates: $\alpha_k = -\frac{1}{\tau} \ln \lambda_k \rightarrow \text{Constant if Markovian}!$ 0.16 0.14 0.12 $\begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{7} ln \lambda_i (\tau) \\ 80.0 & \lambda_i (\tau) \\ 0.00 & 0 \end{array}$ 0.06 $\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4} \rightarrow \tau_{d} = 24 \text{ days}$ 0.04 $\alpha_2 \rightarrow \tau_d = 55 \text{ days}$ 0.02 $\alpha_1 = 0$ 0.00∟ 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 τ

• Spectral gap for $\tau > 5$ days \rightarrow time-scale separation,

• Dominant rates constant w.r.t τ for $\tau > 8$ days \rightarrow Markovian.

Almost invariant sets: results

 $\mathbb{P}(x_{t+\tau} \in A | x_t \in A) \approx 1.$

Optimal almost invariants: optimal Markov chain reduction (Deng et al., IEEE Autom. Control, (2011)).



Figure: Two almost-invariants of P_{τ} for $\tau = 8$, with density 0.27 (light green) and 0.73 (light blue) and the restriction of half of their volume, the B(locked) regime (dark green) and the Z(onal) regime (dark blue).

Early warning indicator?

- Predict the evolution of initial density f_0 : $f_{k\tau} = f_0 P_{\tau}^k$,
- Alarm at time $k\tau$ when $\sum_B P_{\tau} f_0(y) > p_c$.



Skill of the indicator

$S_{Peirce} = hit rate - false alarm rate$



• Best skills for $p_c \sim 0.3$,

Summary example 2

Transfer operator \rightarrow projected dynamics of high-dimensional system:

• Time scales and memory effects,

• Objective definition of atmospheric regimes,

- Preferred path \rightarrow potential predictability,
- Discrete stochastic prediction.





Overall summary

Dynamical systems approach

Model hierarchyConcepts/techniques



I. Behavior involving `low-dimensional' attractors

Local bifurcation theory
 Global bifurcations



II. Behavior involving `high-dimensional' attractors

- Transfer operator techniques
 - Markovian approximations

